



Corso di Laurea in Ingegneria Industriale

MATERIALS AND SYSTEMS FOR THE ENERGY TRANSITION - Lecture 2: Fundamentals of photovoltaics -

Vanni Lughi

A.A. 2023-24

Photovoltaics:

DIRECT conversion of solar energy to electrical energy



Interdepartmental Center for Energy, Environment and Transport "Giacomo Ciamician" of the University of Trieste in collaboration with Collegio Universitario di Merito Luciano Fonda Trieste Laboratory for Quantitative Sustainability



in memory of prof. Maurizio Fermeglia

March 20th, 2024, 4:00 pm – 6:00 pm University of Trieste, Aula Magna

Powering the Just Transition

Prof. Daniel Kammen, University of California at Berkeley

3:30 – 4:00 pm Arrival of participants

4:00 – 4:10 pm Opening remarks and address by the authorities

4:10 – 4:20 pm Address by the organizing institutions

4:20 – 4:30 pm A tribute to prof. Maurizio Fermeglia "Facing Global Challenges", Vanni Lughi

4:30 – 5:30 pm Lectio magistralis "Powering the Just Transition", Daniel Kammen

5:30 – 6:00 pm Q&A, discussion and closing remarks

Moderator: prof. Romeo Danielis

PV annual installations

ANNUAL SOLAR PV INSTALLED CAPACITY 2000-2022



2023: 510 GW

PV global capacity



REN21, 2022

PV price reduction

- example: turnkey PV installations in Italy -



Energy & Strategy Group, Politecnico di Milano, 2014

PV price reduction

- example: rooftop PV installations in Germany -



Grid parity



Breyer C, Gerlach A. **Global overview on grid parity** Progress in photovoltaic: research and applications 2012. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. DOI 10.1002/pip.1254

PHOTOVOLTAICS - HISTORY



Fermeglia, Lughi, Massi Pavan, MRS Energy and Sustainability 2020, doi:10.1557/mre.2020.36

Price reduction of PV modules

- «learning curve» - the effect of the economies of scale -



Price reduction of PV modules

- «learning curve» - the effect of the economies of scale -



Role of Balance of System

- The cost of BoS today is comparable with that of the modules -



Year

Role of Balance of System

The cost of BoS today is comparable with that of the modules (or less – the case of USA)



Cumulative Installations (GW)

The role of BoS

- In BoS, overall there is more margin for cost reduction -

Comparison of U.S. and German Solar Costs





Growing Energy Demand in Developing Countries

What kind of energy?





What kind of energy?

CARE Present of the

What role for photovoltaics?

What role for new technologies?

T

Portable power

The portable power market is just starting and needs new technology







X. Wang and A. Barnett, Appl. Sci. 2019, 9(6), 1227



Photovoltaic Effect



p-n junction: cell asymmetry



p-n junction





Absorption and generation

Interband absorption



Absorption

Legge di Lambert – Beer

 $I = I_0 \exp(-\alpha x)$

 α : coeff. di assorbimento



Absorption: thickness engineering











$$g(x) = \int_{\lambda} \varphi(\lambda) \alpha(\lambda) e^{-\alpha(\lambda)x} d\lambda$$



Absorption: thickness engineering



Doping and mobility



Doping beyond a certain value:

- Increases the number of carriers
- Reduces mobiliy and diffusion but less than the increase of carrier concentration

Drift current is favored (and diffusion current is disfavored)

The emitter must be doped as much as possible!

Doping and diffusion length

Scelta ottimale del drogaggio della base per ottenere massima efficienza



p-n junction
p-n junction









p-n junction at equilibrium



Distance, x

Dark Characteristic (Diode)





Dark Characteristic

Da
$$J_{tot} = J_e \Big|_{x=0} + J_h \Big|_{x=0}$$

$$I = I_0 (e^{qV/kT} - 1) \qquad \text{con} \qquad I_0 = A \left(\frac{qD_e n_i^2}{L_e N_A} + \frac{qD_h n_i^2}{L_h N_D} \right)$$

Illuminated Characteristic



Illuminated Characteristic

$$\frac{d^2 \Delta p}{dx^2} = \frac{\Delta p}{L_h^2} - \frac{G}{D_h}$$
(4.38)
Since G/D_h is constant, the corresponding general solution is
 $\Delta p = G\tau_h + Ce^{x/L_h} + De^{-x/L_h}$ (4.39)
The boundary conditions remain unchanged from the analysis
of the diode in the dark. This gives the particular solution
 $p_n(x) = p_{n0} + G\tau_h + [p_{n0}(e^{qV/kT} - 1) - G\tau_h] e^{-x/L_h}$ (4.40)
with a similar expression for $n_p(x')$ as plotted in Fig. 4.10.
The corresponding current density is
 $J_h(x) = \frac{qD_h p_{n0}}{L_h} (e^{qV/kT} - 1)e^{-x/L_h} - qGL_h e^{-x/L_h}$ (4.41)
with a similar expression for $J_e(x')$.

IV characteristics and equivalent circuit

$$I = I_0 (e^{qV/kT} - 1) - I_L$$



Local generation



Charge collection probability



Photogenerated current



This volume should be reduced as much as possible!

Quantum efficiency



Figures of merit

•Corrente di corto circuito Isc, efficienza quantica QE, risposta spettrale SR

$$I_{SC} = QE \cdot I_L$$
 $SR(\lambda) = \frac{q\lambda}{hc}QE(\lambda)$



Efficiency under concentration

$$P_{in}^{Xsuns} = X P_{in}^{Isun}$$
 $I_{SC}^{Xsuns} = X I_{SC}^{Isun}$ X: concentration factor

$$\eta = \frac{FF^{Xsuns}V_{OC}^{Xsuns}I_{SC}^{Xsuns}}{P_{in}^{Xsuns}} = \frac{FF^{Xsuns}V_{OC}^{Xsuns}XI_{SC}^{1sun}}{XP_{in}^{1sun}} = \frac{FF^{Xsuns}V_{OC}^{Xsuns}I_{SC}^{1sun}}{P_{in}^{1sun}}$$



Solar cell efficiency

Thermodynamic limits

Carnot Limit

$$\eta_{Carnot} = 1 - \frac{T_A}{T_S} \cong 95\%$$

 T_A : cell temperature at room temperature T_S : temperature of Sun

Landsberg Limit ~ 93.3% (86.8%, series of black bodies as converter)

<u>Schokley – Queisser (</u>SQ) Limit: Converter is one single semiconductor



Thermodynamic limits



Electric losses







- Ombreggiamento dei contatti
- Riflessione



- Trasmissione (mancato assorbimento)
- Ricombinazione (radiativa, SRH, Auger,

superficie)

• Trasporto

- Е
- Termalizzazione di fotoni energetici
- Perdite alla giunzione
- Resistenze parassite
- Perdite ai contatti

Losses



Semonin, O. E., et al. (2012) Materials Today 15(11): 508-515.

Effect on temperature





Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Photovoltaic technologies: state of the art

1. Commercial technologies



Crystalline silicon modules



Average Commercial Module Efficiency



Silicon PV moduli are made of silicon solar cells



Standard Silicon PV Technology



Where are we with silicon solar cells?



New technologies



Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Technical evolution and growth potential



Crystalline silicon modules



Current Pricing

Modulklasse	€/Wp	Trend selt September 2023	Trend seit Januar 2023	Beschreibung
Kristalline Module				
High Efficiency	0,27	- 3,6 % 💊	- 32,5 % 📏	Kristalline Module mit mono- oder bifazialen HJT-, N-Typ-/ TOPCon- oder IBC (Back Contact)-Zellen und Kombinationen daraus, welche Wirkungsgrade größer 22 Prozent aufweisen.
Mainstream	0,19	- 5,0 % 💊	- 36,7 % 📏	Standardmodule mit monokristallinen Zellen (auch TOPCon), die vorwiegend in gewerblichen Anlagen eingesetzt werden und einen Wirkungsgrad bis 22 Prozent aufweisen.
Low Cost	0,11	- 8,3 % 📏	- 42,1 % 📏	Minderleistungsmodule, B-Ware, Insolvenzware, Gebraucht- module, Produkte mit eingeschränkter oder ohne Garantie, die in der Regel auch keine Bankability besitzen.

Quelle www.pwichange.com

HINWEISE FÜR DAS PV PREISBAROMETER

1. Es werden nur Netto-Preise für Photovoltaik-Module gezeigt.

2 Die Preise sind keine Endkundenpreise. Für eine durchschnittliche schlüsselfertige PV-Anlage muss der Wert in Deutschland mit dem Faktor 5-8 multipliziert werden.

3. Die Preise stellen die durchschnittlichen Angebotspreise für verzolite Ware im Handel und auf dem europäischen Spotmarkt dar.

https://www.pv-magazine.com/2023/10/18/downward-trend-for-pv-module-prices-losing-momentum/

German PV magazine, October 18th, 2023

Manufacturing of solar PV modules



Manufacturing of silicon single crystal wafers



Silicon single crystal manufacturing



Credit CHRISTIAN KOCH, MICROCHEMICALS / SCIENCE PHOTO LIBRARY

https://youtu.be/skRmyhSOu28

Semiconductor solar cell manufacturing


PV Module Construction

Material		Weight	Unit price	Recycled revenue
			(\$/kg)	(\$/m ²)
Solar cell	Total	4.7%		3.1
	Silicon	4.4%	2.7	1.30
	Aluminium	0.3%	1.5	0.05
	Silver	0.03%	647	1.79
Ribbon	Total	0.9%		0.56
	Copper	0.8%	4.4	0.38
	Tin	0.1%	16	0.18
	Lead	0.01%	2	0.00
Glass	Solar glass	67%	0.091	0.67
Plastics	Total	11%	Waste to	0.14
	EVA	6.7%	energy*	
	PVF	0.8%		
	PET	2.6%		
	Silicone	0.9%		
Frame	Aluminium	16%	1.5	2.7

 * The most common practice is waste to energy, with recycled revenue of \$0.14/ m^2 .



Half-Cell Modules

Advantages:

- Lower currents \rightarrow lower resistive losses
- Improved low-light and shading performance
- Defect statistics





PV Module Construction



PV Module Construction

Material		Weight	Unit price	Recycled revenue
			(\$/kg)	(\$/m ²)
Solar cell	Total	4.7%		3.1
	Silicon	4.4%	2.7	1.30
	Aluminium	0.3%	1.5	0.05
	Silver	0.03%	647	1.79
Ribbon	Total	0.9%		0.56
	Copper	0.8%	4.4	0.38
	Tin	0.1%	16	0.18
	Lead	0.01%	2	0.00
Glass	Solar glass	67%	0.091	0.67
Plastics	Total	11%	Waste to	0.14
	EVA	6.7%	energy*	
	PVF	0.8%		
	PET	2.6%		
	Silicone	0.9%		
Frame	Aluminium	16%	1.5	2.7

 * The most common practice is waste to energy, with recycled revenue of \$0.14/ m^2 .



PV Modules Failure Modes

Table 2. Common degradation and failure modes of PV module components and their effects. The delineation between degradation and failure is not always well defined.

Component	Degradation modes	Failure Modes	Effects
Frame	Corrosion	Warpage	Increased risk of module damage
Glass	Glass corrosion	Breakage, soiling, abrasion	Reduced current, hotspot formation
Encapsulant	Photo-oxidation	Discoloration, delamination	Reduced current, increased corrosion
Internal circuit (interconnects, TCO)	Corrosion	Fatigue, cracks	Reduced current, cell isolation, hotspot formation
Solar cells	PID, LID, LETID	Cracks, cell isolation (cracks)	Reduced power, hotspot formation
Backsheet	Photo-oxidation, hydrolysis	Discoloration, delamination, cracks	Increased corrosion, isolation failure
Junction box	-	Arcs, delamination	Electrical fault, detachment



Time



Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews Volume 159, May 2022, 112160

Review of degradation and failure phenomena in photovoltaic modules

 $\underbrace{\mathsf{M}.\mathsf{Aghael}^{\,\,0}}_{\mathsf{A}.\mathsf{Fairbrother}^{\,\,\mathsf{C}},\mathsf{A}.\mathsf{Gok}^{\,\,\mathsf{d}},\mathsf{S}.\mathsf{Ahmad}^{\,\,\mathsf{ef}},\mathsf{S}.\mathsf{Kazim}^{\,\,\mathsf{ef}},\mathsf{K}.\mathsf{Lobato}^{\,\,\mathsf{g}},\mathsf{G}.\mathsf{Oreski}^{\,\,\mathsf{h}},\\ \underbrace{\mathsf{A}.\mathsf{Reinders}^{\,\,\mathfrak{a}^{\,\,\mathsf{l}}},\mathsf{J}.\mathsf{Schmitz}^{\,\,\mathsf{j}},\mathsf{M}.\mathsf{Theelen}^{\,\,\mathsf{k}},\mathsf{P}.\mathsf{Yilmaz}^{\,\,\mathsf{j}}\,\mathsf{k},\mathsf{J}.\mathsf{Kettle}^{\,\,\mathsf{l}}} \, \mathsf{Q}}_{\mathsf{M}} \boxtimes$

PV Modules Failure Modes



Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews Volume 159, May 2022, 112160

Review of degradation and failure phenomena in photovoltaic modules

ELSEVIER

 $\underbrace{\text{M. Aghaei}}_{a} \overset{a}{\text{b}}, \underline{\text{A. Fairbrother}}^{c}, \underline{\text{A. Gok}}^{d}, \underline{\text{S. Ahmad}}^{ef}, \underline{\text{S. Kazim}}^{ef}, \underline{\text{K. Lobato}}^{g}, \underline{\text{G. Oreski}}^{h}, \underline{\text{A. Reinders}}^{i}, \underline{\text{J. Schmitz}}^{j}, \underline{\text{M. Theelen}}^{k}, \underline{\text{P. Yilmaz}}^{j}, \underline{\text{J. Kettle}}^{l}, \underline{\text{Q}} \boxtimes$

PV Modules Failure Modes



PV Module Recycling Potential

Potential value creation through PV end-of-life management **Cumulative PV capacity: Cumulative PV capacity:** 1,600 GW 4,500 GW Life cycle: Life cycle: **Cumulative PV Cumulative PV** Enough raw material Enough raw material 2030 panel waste: 2050 panel waste: recovered to produce recovered to produce 1.7 - 8 60 - 78 60 million new panels 2 billion new panels million tonnes million tonnes (equivalent to 18 GW) (equivalent to 630 GW) Value creation: Value creation: USD 450 million alone for USD 15 billion alone for raw material recovery raw material recovery **New industries New industries** and employment and employment 78 Million 4,000 (M)

cap

Overview of global PV panel waste projections, 2016-2050 100 90 4.500 GW Cumulative PV panel waste (million t) 80 3,500 70 acity 60 Million 60 3.000 50 2,500 ≧ 1,630 GW Cumulative 2,000 1,500 30 20 1,000 270 GW 8 Million 500 10 ____ 250,000 1.7 Million 43,500 2016 2030 2050 Regular-loss scenario Early-loss scenario Linear (Cumulative PV capacity) ••••



PV Modules Recycling – Delamination Processes



Resources, Conservation & Recycling 187 (2022) 106612

PV Modules Recycling – More on Hot Knife

https://www.pv-magazine.com/2023/08/17/advancing-circular-economy-in-photovoltaics-the-hot-knife-pv-module-recycling-method/

https://iea-pvps.org/key-topics/life-cycle-assessment-of-crystalline-silicon-photovoltaic-module-delamination-with-hot-knife-technology/

PV Modules Recycling – Sorting Processes



Resources, Conservation & Recycling 187 (2022) 106612

PV Modules Recycling: Industrial Example



https://www.npcgroup.net/eng/solarpower/reuse-recycle/recycle-service

PV Modules Recycling

Take-home messages:

- Recycling is technically feasible (worst case scenario: downcycling). Examples of industrial-scale businesses are starting to emerge (e.g. Veolia France, NPC Inc., ...)
- The bottleneck is no longer low yield of a single material. Instead, it is more urgent to improve the costeffectiveness of value-recovery processing systemically
- Economic viability is expected at higher volumes of PV modules at end-of-life (current viability expected at a recycling cost of 4-500\$/ton; at this time it is about 1000 \$/ton)
- Social acceptability needs to be taken into account
- Recycling is a great business opportunity for the future
- Regulatory framework is needed
- Consider secondary markets
- Design for recycle

New silicon-based commercial technologies: «Black» silicon



€/kWh reduction driver: higher efficiency at constant cost

New silicon-based commercial technologies: Bifacial technology





€/kWh reduction driver: higher collection area at constant cost



€/kWh reduction driver: lower manufacturing cost at constant efficiency

One new frontier: flexible solar modules





Size advantages Module efficiency Lower BOS costs → Lower LCOE

Drivers for dimensions:

Initially, vertically integrated companies with wafer production capacity adjusted wafer formats to achieve the highest module output.
Henceforth, the combination of "rectangular wafers" and "high-density encapsulation" became a focus of the industry for future development.

- Later module makers came to realize that the original format did not fully utilize shipping containers.

PV – Current technologies - lookout



International Technology Roadmap of PV 2023

Review Article Published: 07 March 2022

Status and perspectives of crystalline silicon photovoltaics in research and industry

Christophe Ballif 🖾, Franz-Josef Haug, Mathieu Boccard, Pierre J. Verlinden & Giso Hahn

Nature Reviews Materials 7, 597–616 (2022) Cite this article

https://www.nature.com/articles/s41578-022-00423-2#change-history

PV – Current technologies (the key: contact passivation!)



d n-PERT





b PERC



e TOPCon (demo)



h SHJ (IBC)



f TOPCon (industry)

c p-PERT

Si:B



Al₂O₃/SiN_x

i IBC



PV – Current technologies - Fabrication









- 1. Screen-printed Ag
- SiN_x ARC and passivation layer by PECVD
- n⁺ doping and full-area emitter formation by POCI₃ diffusion
- Laser-formed elective emitter*
- High lifetime *p*-type base wafer
- Localized p⁺ BSF between Si and Al formed by laser opening
- Backside reflection and passivation layer (SiO_X and AlO_X/SiN_X stack by ALD or PECVD)
- Screen-printed full area Al paste
- Rear Ag pads for cell interconnection

Efficiency: 21-23%

PV – Current technologies - Fabrication



c p-PERT



d n-PERT





- Ag and Al front metallization by screen-printing
- SiN_X ARC and passivation layer by PECVD
- p⁺ doping and full-area emitter formation by ion implantation or BBr₃ diffusion
- High lifetime n-type base wafer
- Full-area n⁺ doping by POCl₃ diffusion
- Backside SiN_x passivation layer by PECVD
- Ag rear metallization (sometimes full-area) by screen-printing or PVD

Efficiency: 21-23%

PV – modern n-type cells

2023 will be the year of n-type modules

9. February 2023 by Hanna Schneidawind



2023 appears to be a year of technological innovation for solar modules. Two trends in particular are expected to determine production in the industry: First of all, there will be improved efficiency thanks to the increasing use of n-type M10 solar cells. In addition, higher capacity is to be expected, with a particular focus on weight and size.

Today, the most widely used technology for high-efficiency modules is still the PERC cell (ptype), especially the Half-Cut Multi-Busbar version. However, a trend reversal is expected as

early as 2023 and TOPCon technology in the form of the n-type cell will become more widespread.

Advantages of n-type cells

- n-type wafers are doped with "phosphorus elements"
- No boron-oxygen pairs
- Negligible light-induced attenuation
- Carrier lifetime one order of magnitude higher than in p-type wafers
- Voc, Isc, efficiency are improved



Unit: GW





- SiN_x ARC and passivation layer by PECVD
- High lifetime n-type base wafer
- n⁺⁺ doping and back surface field formation for contact to cell metallization
- p⁺ doping and emitter formation for contact to cell metallization
- Separation of n⁺⁺ BSF and p⁺ emitter by dielectric layer(s)
- Laser opening of dielectric layers for electroplated contacts or high temperature fire-through of metallization pastes
- 7. Metal finger (n++ contact)
- 8. Metal finger (p⁺ contact)



h SHJ (IBC)





- Frontside fingers (busbars optional) compromised of low-temperature screenprinted Ag pastes or electroplated Ni/Cu/Sn/Ag
- TCO by PVD (typically ITO for high optical transmission and low sheet resistance)
- p⁺ doping and full-area emitter formation by PECVD of a-Si:H
- Intrinsically doped a-Si:H by PECVD
- High lifetime n-type base wafer
- Intrinsically doped a-Si:H by PECVD
- n⁺ doping and full-area BSF formation by PECVD of a-Si:H
- TCO by PVD (typically ITO for high optical transmission and low sheet resistance)
- Backside fingers (busbars optional) comprised of low-temperature screenprinted Ag pastes or electroplated Ni/Cu/Sn/Ag

PV – Current technologies - Fabrication



PV – Current technologies: TOPCon





TOPCon advantages:

- Increased cell efficiency
- Low temperature coefficients
- Reduced linear degradation and extended performance guarantee
- Best performance with low irradiation
- Low cost

PV - TOPCon



Detailed Balance Limit (Radiative and Auger Recombination)					
	Silicon $E_g = 1$. Thickness = 17	125 eV (@ 2 70 μm	298.15 K)		
	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²) 43 25	V _{oc} (V) 0.875	FF (%) 87 01	Eff. (%)	

PV – TOPCon – key mechanism





Yousuf, H.; Khokhar, M.Q.; Zahid, M.A.; Rabelo, M.; Kim, S.; Pham, D.P.; Kim, Y.; Yi, J. Tunnel Oxide Deposition Techniques and Their Parametric Influence on Nano-Scaled SiO_x Layer of TOPCon Solar Cell: A Review. *Energies* **2022**, *15*, 5753. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15155753

Selective contacts





Macco et al., Atomic Layer Deposition for High Efficiency Crystalline Silicon Solar Cells, in Atomic Layer Deposition in Energy Conversion Applications, J. Bachmann, Editor. 2016, Willey.

Band alignment of thin film oxides with silicon



Stradins, P. et al., "Passivated Tunneling Contacts to N-Type Wafer Silicon and Their Implementation into High Performance Solar Cells", WCPEC-6 proceedings, 2014
PV – iTOPCon Fabrication



PV – current technologies

	AI-BSF	PERC	PERT/PERL	SHJ	Back Contact
Production scale in 2019	20 GW (multi-)	20 GW (multi-)	5 GW	5 GW	500 MW (IBC with mono-)
	30 GW (mono-)	30 GW (mono-)			2 GW (MWT with multi-)
Bifaciality factor	N/A	0.65–0.80	0.85-0.90	0.80-0.95	0.40-0.50
Power temperature coefficient (% per °C)	-0.35 to -0.40	-0.25 to -0.40	-0.40 to -0.45	0.25 to 0.30	-0.25 to -0.30

Table 1. c-Si PV Metrics Relevant to Production Scale and Energy Yield

PERT/PERL and SHJ are believed to be relevant for monocrystalline only. Back contact includes IBC for monocrystalline and metal wrap through (MWT) for multicrystalline. The bifaciality factor is measured via a controlled indoor experiment to determine the amount of electricity generated from the cell backside versus frontside with the same illumination profile and intensity and at the same temperature.

PV – n-type vs p-type



PV – n-type vs p-type



PV – cell manufacturing (PERC)



Figure 6. Typical process flow for manufacturing monocrystalline (left) and multicrystalline (right) PERC cells in 2020

PV



Figure 8. Step-by-step costs for monocrystalline PERC cell production in urban China, 2020

Assumptions include a 2-GW greenfield production facility in urban China for 258-cm² cells on M4 format p-type Cz wafers, at 22% cell efficiency. ARC = antireflection coating, USD = U.S. dollars.

PV – module manufacturing (PERC modules)



I-V = current-voltage, j-box = junction box.

Figure 7. Process flow for manufacturing standard PERC modules

PV



Figure 9. Step-by-step costs for monocrystalline PERC module assembly in urban China, 2020

Assumptions include 400-W modules with 72 half-cut mono-PERC cells (258-cm² cells, M4 format) at a facility in urban China producing more than 1.0 GW per year. OpEx = operating expenses.

PV – fabrication cost

Table 2. Overview of Inputs Used in NREL's PERC Cost Models

Variable COGS Inputs			
Principal input materials	<u>Cells</u> : Si wafers. Water-based solutions for PSG removal and surface texturization (KOH, HF, HNO ₃ , HCl). POCl ₃ (or BBr ₃) for doping by thermal diffusion. NH ₃ and SiH ₄ precursors for SiN _x :H by PECVD. Trimethyl AI (TMAI) for PERC passivation layers. Al and Ag metallization screens and pastes for printing.		
	<u>Modules</u> : Cell stringing and tabbing ribbons, front glass, backsheet, 2 sheets of polyolefin (POE) or ethylene-vinyl acetate (EVA) encapsulant, Al frame and edge sealant, junction box, potting agent and tape for the junction box, and coded sticker label for the module.		
Labor	<u>Cells</u> : 0.15–0.45 direct employees per MW of annual cell production depending on cell architecture.		
	<u>Modules</u> : 0.5–0.7 direct employees per MW of annual module production, depending on level of automation.		
Electricity	<u>Cell fabrication:</u> 0.4–0.5 kWh per SHJ cell, 0.3–0.5 kWh per cell for all other architectures; excludes polysilicon, ingot, and wafer production stages.		
	Modules: 15 kWh per 72-cell module.		
Maintenance	<u>Cells</u> : Annual cost corresponding to 3% of the original investment in equipment. <u>Modules</u> : Annual cost corresponding to 4% of the original investment in equipment.		
Fixed COGS Inputs			
Equipment CapEx and depreciation	<u>Cells</u> : Equipment CapEx of \$0.10–\$0.18/W for SHJ cell lines, \$0.03–\$0.10/W for other cell lines. 5-year depreciation (straight line). <u>Modules</u> : Equipment CapEx of \$0.03–\$0.05/W for PERC and standard modules. 5-		
	year depreciation (straight line).		
Facilities CapEx and	<u>Cells</u> : \$0.02–\$0.03/W total for new facility and building CapEx. 20-year depreciation (straight line).		
depreciation	<u>Modules</u> : \$0.02–\$0.03/W total facility and building CapEx. 20-year depreciation (straight line).		
Remaining Fixed Operating Expenses			
R&D	3% of value-added revenues (for cells, total revenues minus wafer costs; for modules, total revenues minus cell costs).		
SG&A	9% of value-added revenues (for cells, total revenues minus wafer costs; for modules, total revenues minus cell costs).		

PV – Current technologies



a | The main steps in making photovoltaic modules: purified polysilicon (poly-Si) preparation, crystalline ingot casting or pulling, wafering, solar cell processing and module assembly. **b** | Learning curve in capital expenditure along the value chain, from poly-Si purification to modules assembly. Symbols indicate historical data, lines indicate predicted future trends for passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) cells. **c** | Average efficiency evolution of monocrystalline and multi-crystalline silicon mainstream modules, considering all modules sold on the market. An estimate for future improvements in the efficiency of monocrystalline cells is provided. **d** | Decrease in wafer thickness and silicon consumption over time. Panel **a** (Siemens reactor) adapted with permission from ref.²²⁹, Elsevier. Panel **a** (ingot) courtesy of LONGi. Panel **b** adapted with permission from ref.²³⁰, P. P. Altermatt. Panels **c** and **d** adapted with permission from ref.²³¹, Fraunhofer ISE.



Silicon costs money and energy



Thin film technology

- Based on materials with better light absorption properties-



Thin film technology: CIGS, a-SI, CdTe





CIGS:

- Solar Frontier, inc.
- Solibro, GmbH
- Miasolé, Itd.
- ... (several global companies)...

• First Solar, inc.

CdTe:

- a-Si
- Sharp, inc.
- Sunerg, srl



Thin film technology:

aesthetics, building integration, reduction of installation cost





Thin film technology: CIGS, a-SI, CdTe

- cost comparison with silicon-based modules -



FIGURE 6.2: SOLAR PV MODULE COST LEARNING CURVE FOR CRYSTALLINE SILICON AND THIN-FILM

SOURCE: BASED ON DATA FROM EPIA AND PHOTOVOLTAIC TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM, 2011; LIEBREICH, 2011; SOLOGICO, 2012 AND IRENA ANALYSIS.

Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Technical evolution and growth potential



Thin film PV modules

- Techno-economic positioning -



Impact of Thin Film Technology is Dropping







Data: from 2000 to 2009: Navigant; from 2010: IHS Markit. Graph: PSE 2022. Date of data: Jan-2022

Data: from 2000 to 2010: Navigant; from 2011: IHS. Graph: PSE GmbH 2018





Multijunction («tandem») cells

- A more efficient use of the solar radiation -



Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Multijunction cells

- Techno-economic positioning -



PV – moduli commeciali



Photovoltaic technologies: state of the art

2. Frontier technologies

Dye Sensitized Solar Cell - DSSC



Fotosintesi



Fotosintesi artificiale



Fotosintesi artificiale

Assemblaggio gerarchico per un migliore assorbimento



Dye Sensitized Solar Cell (DSSC) Graetzel Cell









Organic photovoltaics - OPV











Why Organic Cells?

•Low cost

•High thoughput production

•Flexibility


Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Physics of Organic Solar Cells





Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



DSSC e OPV

- Techno-economic positioning -



Photovoltaic technologies: state of the art

3. Beyond the frontier - nanotechnology

Nanotechnology and PV: Why?

• Morphologic advantages: nanometric structures have a lot of surface area (*e.g. DSSC*)



- The optoelectronic properties of materials are dominated by phenomena occurring at the nanoscale → we need to engineer the nanostructure of materials
- Nanoscale phenomena are governed by quantum mechanics → nanomaterials can exploit untapped physics at the macroscale (*e.g. intermediate band*)

Thermalization of electrons: wasted energy!



Using Nanoheterostructures

Best Research-Cell Efficiencies





Quantum dot solar cells

- Mostly perovskite QD sensitized
- Other concepts: MEG, IB, Hot extraction)



Why using nanostructures?



- High theoretical efficiency potential
- "Natural" scale
- Quantum physics and emerging properties
- Morphological advantages
- Potential for low-cost processes

Quantum dots in photovoltaics

Key concepts and main kinds of devices ("proper" QD solar cells)



Graham H. Carey, Ahmed L. Abdelhady, Zhijun Ning§, Susanna M. Thon, Osman M. Bakr, and Edward H. Sargent, "Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cells", Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 23, 12732–12763

Quantum dots in photovoltaics

Key concepts and main kinds of devices ("proper" QD solar cells)



Bulk Heterojunction

Graham H. Carey, Ahmed L. Abdelhady, Zhijun Ning§, Susanna M. Thon, Osman M. Bakr, and Edward H. Sargent, "Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cells", Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 23, 12732–12763

Quantum dots in photovoltaics

Key concepts and main kinds of devices ("proper" QD solar cells)



Graham H. Carey, Ahmed L. Abdelhady, Zhijun Ning§, Susanna M. Thon, Osman M. Bakr, and Edward H. Sargent, "Colloidal Quantum Dot Solar Cells", Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 23, 12732–12763

Quantum dots in photovoltaics (or in any device!)

Need for assembling nanoparticles!





M.A. Boles, M. Engel, D.V. Talapin, "Self-Assembly of Colloidal Nanocrystals: From Intricate Structures to Functional Materials", Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 18, 11220-11289

Other ways of using quantum dots in photovoltaics

Photovoltaic Effect



Thermalization of electrons: wasted energy!



Nanoparticles in Photovoltaics

- Better use of high-energy photons: MEG (Multiple Exciton Generation) -



- Better use of high-energy photons: Hot Electron Extraction -



PV Kamat, Nature Chemistry 2 p809 (2010) A. Pandey, P. Guyot-Sionnest*, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 1 p45–47 (2010) JA McGuire et al., ACS Nano 4, p6087 (2010)

Low energy photons are lost



Intermediate Band Materials



Intermediate Band Materials: How To...



Intermediate Band Materials: State of the Art



Concept is demonstrated, however:

• High cost

• Limited ability of tuning the structure (and therefore the properties)

Luque et al., Nature Photonics 6, 146 (2012) Okada et al., IEICE Elect. Expr. 10, 2012007 (2013) Ramiro et al., IEEE J Photov. 4, 736 (2014)



IB-Based Solar Cell Architectures



Upconversion (traces of...) via intermediate band







EA Slejko, V Lughi, "Upconversion photoluminescence in colloidal CdSe/CdS nanocrystal-based solid films, Nanostr. Nano-obj. 26 (2021) 100742

Best Research-Cell Efficiencies



Enhancement potential



Next-generation solar cells

- Techno-economic positioning -



The latest frontier: Perovskite-based solar cells





CH₃NH₃PbX₃ perovskites (X=I, Br and/or CI)

- Most promising thin film technology (high efficiency)
- Cheap, high-throughput manufacturing
- BUT: chemically unstable







The latest frontier: Perowskite-based solar cells

Sensitized perovskite solar cell



Thin-film perovskite

solar cell

Perovskite – Band structure engineering

Bandgap tuning

Doping tuning

Advanced Engineering













Band structure engineering in metal halide perovskite nanostructures for optoelectronic applications

Qingdong Qu⁰, Xiaozhi Bao^b, Yinan Zhang ^C, Huaiyu Shao^b, Guichuan Xing ^b, Xiangping U.^C, Liyang Shao^d, Qiaoliang Bao^a R

Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENER(



Multijunction («tandem») cells

- A more efficient use of the solar radiation -


Perovskite – Silicon Tandem Cells





Best Research-Cell Efficiencies

Transforming ENERC



Best Research-Cell Efficiencies



Longi claims 33.9% efficiency for perovskite-silicon tandem solar cell

The US Department of Energy's National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) has confirmed Longi's achievement of a world record-breaking efficiency rating of 33.9% for a perovskite-silicon tandem solar cell.

NOVEMBER 6, 2023 VINCENT SHAW







Raw Materials for Key Technologies in Strategic Sectors



Critical materials



Economic Importance

Supply Chain Risk



Supply Chain in Photovoltaics



Semiconductor criticalities in the Energy Transition



(Critical and Strategic) Materials in Photovoltaics

Aluminium: in panel frames and inverters or in alloys for construction and support

Iron: in steel alloys for different parts and in fixing systems

Lead: in alloys with tin as solder for electric circuits and interconnectors

Nickel: in electroplating or in stainless steel frames, fasteners and connectors

Zinc: as transparent conductive oxide in the front contact of solar cells





Source: JRC analysis.

Supply Chain Risk in Photovoltaics



Source: JRC analysis.

Scaling up photovoltaics

Energy & Environmental Science







Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 5147

Scaling up photovoltaics

Energy & Environmental Science



View Article Online

ANALYSIS

Check for updates

Technological learning for resource efficient terawatt scale photovoltaics

Cite this: Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 5147

Jan Christoph Goldschmidt, $\textcircled{0}^{\star^a}$ Lukas Wagner, $\textcircled{0}^a$ Robert Pietzcker $\textcircled{0}^b$ and Lorenz Friedrich $\textcircled{0}^a$





Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 5147

Scaling up photovoltaics

Energy & Environmental Science



View Article Online

ANALYSIS

() Check for updates

Technological learning for resource efficient terawatt scale photovoltaics

Cite this: Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, **14**, 5147

Jan Christoph Goldschmidt, (10) *^a Lukas Wagner, (10) ^a Robert Pietzcker (10) ^b and Lorenz Friedrich (10) ^a



Resource Demand



Module Degradation



Fig. 4. Some common PV module stressors for a silicon wafer-based PV module, including light ($h\nu$), strain (ε), voltage bias (V), chemical diffusion, ingress and egress (CH3COOH, H2O, O2, Na+), electric field (E), and thermomechanical strain (Δ T). Dimensions are not to scale.



Review of degradation and failure phenomena in photovoltaic modules

M. Aghaei ^{a b}, A. Fairbrother ^c, A. Gok ^d, S. Ahmad ^{e f}, S. Kazim ^{e f}, K. Lobato ^g, G. Oreski ^h, A. Reinders ^{a i}, J. Schmitz ^j, M. Theelen ^k, P. Yilmaz ^{j k}, J. Kettle ^j A



Review of photovoltaic module degradation, field inspection techniques and techno-economic assessment

https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S136403212200510X

Concluding Remarks

- The cost reduction of the PV-kWh enabled attainment of grid parity in many Countries
- Most of the cost reduction has been driven by the economies of scale
- Nevertheless, technological innovation and breakthroughs are still important
- Current technologies have shown incremental, marginal improvements
- «Emerging» technologies such as Organic PV and DSSC need to prove robustness. They will hardly play a role in power generation
- The newest technologies (perovskites, quantum dot-based) have the chance to be a real breakthrough by combining high efficiency and extremely low cost